



Open Science Monitoring Initiative

WG3. Open Science monitoring with scholarly content providers

1 July 2025, 1pm UTC / 2pm BST / 3pm CAT

Agenda

1. WG scope
2. Areas of work based on group input in June call
3. Next steps
4. August meeting and monthly schedule

WG3. Open science monitoring with scholarly content providers

Scope

While we will work with a broad range of stakeholders, the key audience for this WG is scholarly content providers

Items out of scope for the WG3

- A definition of open science
- Principles of open science monitoring - OSMI has developed those
- Landscaping of open science monitoring needs beyond scholarly content providers or activities at the intersection of these providers - scope of WG2

For discussion

What entities does the group see as falling strictly within the scholarly content provider umbrella?

WG3.Open science monitoring with scholarly content providers

Areas of work

Provide a cohesive overview of what open science monitoring is done by scholarly content providers, with information on motivation, indicators, description and tools/infrastructure. Recommendations for consistent monitoring & newcomers.

- Indicators for scholarly content providers to monitor, consistent descriptions, accounting for “as closed as necessary”
- Recommendations on necessary infrastructure/best practices
- Interoperability, open information for OS indicators
- Resourcing needs/account for high & low resourced platforms

Mapping of open science monitoring done by scholarly content providers to the needs in evaluation (by funders/institutions).

Benchmarking level of openness of specific outputs.

WG3. Open science monitoring with scholarly content providers

Areas of work

Provide a cohesive overview of what open science monitoring is done by scholarly content providers, with information on motivation, indicators, description and tools/infrastructure. Recommendations for consistent monitoring & newcomers.

- Indicators for scholarly content providers to monitor, consistent descriptions, accounting for “as closed as necessary”
- Recommendations on necessary infrastructure/best practices
- Interoperability, open information for OS indicators
- Resourcing needs/account for high & low resourced platforms

Survey to collect information on current & aspirational practices across scholarly content providers
Across OSMI, public input?

Mapping of open science monitoring done by scholarly content providers to the needs in evaluation (by funders/institutions).

Checking re intersection with OSMI WG2.
Could run focus groups

Benchmarking level of openness of specific outputs.

Consider later informed by activities above